

Mid Cap Core Portfolio

Third Quarter 2018



Portfolio Review

The Mid Cap Core portfolio outperformed the Russell Midcap Index during the third quarter. Returns were driven by strong stock selection in the materials and processing sector and an overweight in health care. Gains were offset somewhat by weak stock selection in financial services and consumer staples.

The biggest contributors to performance were Aspen Technology and Tractor Supply Co. Aspen continues to generate healthy topline and bottom-line results, with strength in its core offering complemented by solid demand for its new Asset Performance Monitoring suite of products. Additionally, Aspen is seeing some renewed interest from its engineering and construction clients, the segment that has been struggling most with the decline in oil prices. Annual contract value is forecast to accelerate this year beyond the softer growth seen in the last few years.

Tractor Supply reported growth in both consolidated net-company sales and same-store sales as the business continues to drive a sound value proposition to the end consumer. Also, Tractor Supply raised its full-year guidance for 2018.

Names that detracted the most from performance were Wynn Resorts and Bank OZK. The Macau casino market has recently experienced heightened levels of competition for VIP players as competitors have increased commissions paid to junket operators and have extended additional credit to players. The result of this competition has been near-term business pressure for Wynn. Management, however, has illustrated that long-term fundamentals of the Macau market remain strong and that the company will not compete on price but will continue to compete by having the best product and service in the market.

Bank OZK, formerly Bank of the Ozarks, saw its share price decline in the most recent quarter after the company reported 15% annualized loan growth, which, while impressive for most banks, was a further slowdown in growth at Bank OZK. Some investors remain myopically focused on the current pace of loan growth at the bank. However, our investment thesis is anchored to the fact that over long periods of time Bank OZK has been one of the most efficient banks in the country with credit metrics and profitability that are well-above those of its peers. We continue to have positive expectations for Bank OZK's financial performance and remain owners of the business.

Purchases and Sales

We purchased Elanco Animal Health and SEI Investments Co. We closed out of Core Laboratories.

Elanco Animal Health develops and markets products for companion and food animals in the U.S. and internationally. While competition and challenges exist in the animal-health segment, Elanco's market share is expected to rise, as its separation from Eli Lilly produces greater efficiencies and product development. Increased pet ownership and the global demand for protein should also serve as significant tailwinds for years to come. In addition, Elanco has built up a strong R&D team during its time associated with Lilly. This should create a consistent stream of new products that could help improve margins over time.

SEI Investments provides investment management-related outsourcing services to customers around the world ranging from private banks to investment advisers and institutional investors. SEI has a core product and service that have high switching costs once implemented. It also has a long history in servicing the investment management industry and maintains a strong reputation. The company has had consistent growth in sales and earnings for the past five years and has no debt.

We sold our position in Core Labs to help fund the purchase of a new business that we believe enhances the overall quality of the portfolio. Also supporting this decision to sell was our long-term strategy to lower our exposure to the energy sector.

Outlook

A flat Treasury yield curve continues to concern investors, the U.S. and China are locked in an ongoing trade spat, and troubles in emerging markets persist. But uncertainty and volatility in markets are to be expected. While we do pay attention to such factors causing concern, we also remain focused on the economic and business fundamentals. The U.S. appears to be one of the pillars of strength in the global economy today, with third-quarter GDP growth projected to be strong and various sectors continuing to expand. We are committed to investing in high-quality businesses that have a sustainable competitive edge. We believe this is the best long-term protection we can provide in today's environment of heightened market and geopolitical uncertainty.

Portfolio Highlights

Style: Mid Cap
Sub-Style: Core
Index: Russell Midcap®
Portfolio Inception: 2000
Portfolio Assets: \$690.3 M
Portfolio Turnover: 25%–35%

Investment Management Team

Name	Years of research experience
Douglas S. Foreman, CFA Chief Investment Officer	32
Jon Christensen, CFA Portfolio Manager + Senior Research Analyst	23
Craig Stone Portfolio Manager + Senior Research Analyst	29
Todd Bailey, CFA Senior Research Analyst	19
Julie Biel, CFA Senior Research Analyst	10
Julie Kutasov Senior Research Analyst	17
Chris Wright, CFA Senior Research Analyst	8
Sean Dixon Research Analyst	9
Adam Xiao Research Analyst	3

Top Five Holdings

As of September 30, 2018

Company	Percent of equity (%)
Globus Medical	5.8
AMETEK	5.2
Aspen Technology	5.2
Monster Beverage	4.7
Tractor Supply	4.6
Total	25.5

This report is based on the assumptions and analysis made and believed to be reasonable by Advisor. However, no assurance can be given that Advisor's opinions or expectations will be correct. This report is intended for informational purposes only and should be not considered a recommendation or solicitation to purchase securities. A complete listing of portfolio holdings and specific security transactions for the preceding 12 months is available upon request. Holdings are subject to change. Holdings and weightings are based on a representative portfolio. Individual Investors' holdings may differ slightly. Data is obtained by FactSet Research Systems and is assumed to be reliable. Numbers may not always add up due to rounding. Past performance is no guarantee of future results.

Investment Process: Discovering Quality

Development of High-Quality Universe	Proprietary Fundamental Research	Portfolio Construction	Sell Discipline
200 Stocks Quantitative Screens <ul style="list-style-type: none"> High return on capital over a full economic cycle Long and resilient earnings history High return on net operating assets Minimal debt Other Resources <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Research on existing portfolio holdings Meetings with companies Industry reviews Investment conferences Third-party research 	50–60 Stocks Qualitative Analysis <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Evaluate sustainability of business model and assess management's ability to direct capital where it can create further control of its market Financial Analysis <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Evaluate basis for superior profitability, long-term growth potential, and ability to allocate capital appropriately Valuation Analysis <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Determine the current and potential value of the business 	25–35 Stocks Position Weights <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Typically 3%-4% Maximum weight 10% (at market) Sector Tolerances <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Typically +/- 10% of the Russell Midcap® Index, utilizing Russell sector classifications Non-U.S. Holdings <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Not to exceed 20% (at market) Holding Period <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Typically 3-to-5 years, but is often longer Portfolio turnover is typically 25% to 35% Cash Levels <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Typically will not exceed 10% once a portfolio is fully invested 	Extended Valuation Portfolio Upgrade Diversification Requirements Acquisition Activity Negative Company or Industry Changes

*A consequence of our high-quality investment philosophy is that certain sectors do not meet our financial criteria and offer fewer investable ideas. Our portfolios therefore will likely have persistently low exposure to those segments of the economy (and therefore may cause our sector weights to be outside of the 10% threshold relative to the benchmark). Companies operating in these areas produce consistently low or no profitability, are capital intensive, are heavily indebted, or have overly complex balance sheet structures. These may include large sectors such as Financials (real estate and banking) and Health Care (biotechnology) and also smaller sectors such as Materials & Processing (chemicals, metals and mining), Energy (oil and gas), and Utilities where we will have little to no exposure.


Higher Quality

Stronger, More Consistent Growth

Better Value

Sector Diversification

As of September 30, 2018



Portfolio Characteristics

As of September 30, 2018

	KAR Mid Cap Core	Russell Midcap® Index
Quality		
Return on Equity—Past 5 Years	25.3%	14.5%
Total Debt/EBITDA	1.7 x	4.9 x
Earnings Variability—Past 10 Years	25.9%	60.0%
Growth		
Earnings Per Share Growth—Past 5 Years	12.7%	10.7%
Earnings Per Share Growth—Past 10 Years	16.2%	9.1%
Dividend Per Share Growth—Past 5 Years	13.9%	9.2%
Dividend Per Share Growth—Past 10 Years	16.4%	9.8%
Capital Generation—{ROE x (1-Payout)}	20.4%	9.9%
Value		
P/E Ratio—Trailing 12 Months	28.2 x	24.7 x
Dividend Yield	0.9%	1.6%
Free Cash Flow Yield†	3.4%	3.4%
Market Characteristics		
\$ Weighted Average Market Cap—3-Year Avg.	\$12.4 B	\$13.6 B
Largest Market Cap—3-Year Avg.	\$34.5 B	\$39.1 B
Annualized Standard Deviation—Since Inception‡	13.7%	16.3%

†Free cash flow data is as of June 30, 2018. Prices are as of September 30, 2018. Excludes financials.

‡January 1, 2000

This material is deemed supplemental and complements the performance and disclosure at the end of this presentation. Data is obtained from FactSet Research Systems and BNY Mellon and is assumed to be reliable. Other principal consultant firms may use different algorithms to calculate selected statistics. Estimates are based on certain assumptions and historical information. Past performance is no guarantee of future results.


A complete listing of portfolio holdings and specific security transactions for the preceding 12 months is available upon request. Holdings are subject to change. Holdings and weightings are based on a representative portfolio. Individual Investors' holdings may differ slightly. The sector information represented above is based on Russell sector classifications. Data is obtained by FactSet Research Systems and is assumed to be reliable.

Mid Cap Core Portfolio

Third Quarter 2018


Strong Risk-Adjusted Returns

Inception* to September 30, 2018



Peer Comparison Chart

Ten Years Ending September 30, 2018



Historical Returns

	KAR Mid Cap Core (gross)	KAR Mid Cap Core (net) [‡]	Russell Midcap Index
Annualized Returns (%)[†]			
As of September 30, 2018			
3 rd Quarter	6.12	5.92	5.00
Year to Date	12.02	11.39	7.46
One Year	22.14	21.24	13.98
Three Years	19.33	18.45	14.52
Five Years	16.05	15.19	11.65
Seven Years	18.35	17.48	16.09
Ten Years	13.37	12.47	12.31
Inception*	10.25	9.27	9.06

	KAR Mid Cap Core	Russell Midcap Index
Annual Returns (%)		
2017	26.13	18.52
2016	12.32	13.80
2015	3.37	(2.44)
2014	18.17	13.22
2013	28.54	34.76
2012	16.58	17.28
2011	4.52	(1.55)
2010	20.23	25.48
2009	21.11	40.48
2008	(28.63)	(41.46)
2007	6.45	5.60
2006	13.05	15.26
2005	8.86	12.65
2004	15.23	20.22
2003	26.72	40.06
2002	(12.62)	(16.19)
2001	(2.76)	(5.62)
2000	21.54	8.25

*January 1, 2000

[†]All periods less than one year are total returns and are not annualized. Returns are preliminary.

[‡]Net of all fees and expenses. Assumes a 0.75% annual fee.

This material is deemed supplemental and complements the performance and disclosure at the end of this presentation. Returns of the Kayne Anderson Rudnick composite are preliminary and gross of fees unless otherwise specified. For further details on the composite, please see the disclosure statement in this presentation. The Mid Cap Core Universe includes all managers categorized in the mid cap core asset class by eVestment. Data is obtained from FactSet Research Systems and is assumed to be reliable. Past performance is no guarantee of future results.

Performance Statistics

Inception* to September 30, 2018

	KAR Mid Cap Core	Russell Midcap Index
Annualized Return	10.25	9.06
Annualized Standard Deviation	13.65	16.30
Alpha	2.66	0.00
Beta	0.77	1.00
Sharpe Ratio	0.63	0.46
R-Squared	84.20	100.00

Mid Cap Core Portfolio

Third Quarter 2018

Disclosure

Kayne Anderson Rudnick Investment Management, LLC claims compliance with the Global Investment Performance Standards (GIPS®) and has prepared and presented this report in compliance with the GIPS® standards. Kayne Anderson Rudnick Investment Management, LLC has been independently verified for the period from January 1, 1999 through December 31, 2017.

Verification assesses whether (1) the firm has complied with all the composite construction requirements of the GIPS® standards on a firm-wide basis and (2) the firm's policies and procedures are designed to calculate and present performance in compliance with the GIPS® standards. The Mid Cap Core Composite has been examined for the period from January 1, 2000 through December 31, 2017. The verification and performance examination reports are available upon request.

Kayne Anderson Rudnick Investment Management, LLC, a wholly owned subsidiary of Virtus Investment Partners, Inc., is a registered investment advisor under the Investment Advisers Act of 1940. Registration of an Investment Advisor does not imply any level of skill or training. Kayne Anderson Rudnick Investment Management, LLC manages a variety of equity and fixed-income strategies focusing exclusively on securities the firm defines as high quality.

The composite includes all fully discretionary institutional and pooled Mid Cap Core Portfolios. Mid Cap Core Portfolios are invested in equity securities with market capitalizations consistent with the Russell Midcap® Index, that have market control, rising free cash flow, shareholder-oriented

management, strong consistent profit growth and low-debt balance sheets. For comparison purposes, the composite is measured against the Russell Midcap® Index. The Russell Midcap® Index is a market capitalization-weighted index of the 800 smallest companies in the Russell 1000® Index, which comprises the 1,000 largest U.S. companies. The index is calculated on a total-return basis with dividends reinvested. Benchmark returns are not covered by the report of the independent verifiers. The composite was created in January 2000. Previously, only institutional accounts were included. A list of composite descriptions and policies for valuing portfolios, calculating performance and preparing compliant presentations are available upon request.

Prior to January 1, 2011, the composite minimum was \$250,000. As of January 1, 2011, the composite was redefined to include both institutional and mutual fund [or pooled] accounts. Prior to January 1, 2011, accounts that experienced a significant cash flow, defined as aggregate flows that exceeded 25% of the account's beginning of period market value, were temporarily removed from the composite.

The standard management fee schedule currently in effect is as follows: 0.75% for the first \$25 million; 0.65% on the next \$25 million; 0.55% on the next \$50 million; 0.50% on the balance. Actual management fees charged may vary depending on applicable fee schedules and portfolio size, among other things. Additional information may be found in Part 2A of Form ADV, which is available on request. The performance information is supplied for reference. Past performance is no guarantee of future results. Results will vary among accounts. The U.S. dollar is

the currency used to express performance. Returns are presented net of transaction fees and include the reinvestment of all income. Gross returns will be reduced by investment management fees and other expenses that may be incurred in the management of the account. Model net returns have been calculated by deducting 1/12th of the highest tier of the standard management fee schedule in effect for the respective period from the gross composite returns on a monthly basis.

Internal dispersion is calculated using the asset-weighted standard deviation of annual gross returns for accounts in the composite for the entire year. For those years when less than five accounts were included for the full year, no dispersion measure is presented. The three-year annualized ex-post standard deviation measures the variability of the composite (using gross returns) and the benchmark for the 36-month period ended at the following dates:

3-Yr Annualized Standard Deviation (%)		
December 31	Composite	Benchmark
2011	17.51	21.86
2012	15.39	17.44
2013	12.53	14.23
2014	10.29	10.29
2015	11.96	11.00
2016	12.31	11.72
2017	10.76	10.51

Year	Total Firm Assets (\$ millions)	Total Composite Assets (\$ millions)	Accounts at Year End	Gross Annual Return (%)	Net Annual Return (%)	Russell Midcap® Index Annual Return (%)	Internal Dispersion
2008	3,445	7	11	(28.63)	(29.37)	(41.46)	0.08
2009	4,010	8	9	21.11	19.92	40.48	0.40
2010	4,729	8	7	20.23	19.06	25.48	0.31
2011	5,232	10	14	4.52	3.76	(1.55)	0.26
2012	6,545	11	15	16.58	15.72	17.28	0.18
2013	7,841	15	15	28.54	27.62	34.76	0.48
2014	7,989	17	12	18.17	17.28	13.22	0.13
2015	8,095	40	15	3.37	2.60	(2.44)	0.44
2016	9,989	79	22	12.32	11.49	13.80	0.36
2017	14,609	170	72	26.13	25.21	18.52	0.22

The Russell Midcap® Index is a trademark/service mark of Frank Russell Company. Russell® is a trademark of Frank Russell Company.