# High Quality Intermediate Municipal Bond Portfolio Portfolio Highlights

## First Quarter 2025

- Trends in U.S. and global economies
- Developments in state and local economies
- Direction of monetary and fiscal policy
- Term structure of interest rates
- Pricing of credit risk
- Inflation expectations
- Growth expectations
- Sector outlook

#### **Sector Analysis**

As of March 31, 2025



#### Security Selection & **Portfolio Construction**

Develop duration and yield

curve positioning strategies

High quality-investment grade

Essential services and critical

**Conduct Structure Analysis** 

Coupon/callability/final maturity

Intermediate duration

Select individual issues

Highly liquid

Conduct Credit Analysis

infrastructure

Geographic/sector diversification

•



- · Portfolio or sector duration adjustment
- Overvalued security
- Negative sector development
- Negative security development
- **Client Portfolio** 
  - Objective
- 20-40 securities **Continual Portfolio** Evaluate security structure
- Monitoring to seek to enhance yield and lower volatility

  - Education (6.7%)
  - General Obligation (23.9%) ■ Hospital (2.7%)
  - IDR/PCR (21.9%)
  - ■Taxable Muni (<1%)
  - Utilities (<1%)
  - Water (21.0%)
- Government (0.2%) Housing (0.2%)

■ Electric (10.7%)

- Pre-Refunded (2.6%)
- Transport (9.1%)
- Variable Rate (<1%) ■ Cash (0.9%)

**Historical Returns** 

	KAR HQ Intermediate Municipal Bond	KAR HQ Intermediate Municipal Bond	Bloomberg 5-Year Municipal
	(gross)	(net)§	Bond Index
Annualized Returns (%) <sup>†</sup>			
As of March 31, 2025			
1 <sup>st</sup> Quarter	0.40	0.08	0.91
One Year	2.29	0.97	2.47
Three Years	1.80	0.49	2.06
Five Years	1.12	(0.19)	1.30
Seven Years	1.96	0.64	1.87
Ten Years	1.86	0.55	1.69
Inception <sup>‡</sup>	3.75	2.42	3.65
Annual Returns (%)			
2024	1.94	0.62	1.17
2023	3.87	2.53	4.31
2022	(5.37)	(6.60)	(5.26)
2021	0.70	(0.60)	0.34
2020	4.19	2.85	4.29
2019	5.85	4.49	5.45
2018	1.53	0.22	1.69
2017	3.93	2.59	3.14
2016	0.00	(1.29)	(0.39)
2015	2.98	1.65	2.43
2014	5.96	4.60	3.19
2013	0.26	(1.04)	0.81
2012	4.70	3.36	2.96
2011	8.39	7.00	6.93
2010	3.40	2.07	3.40
2009	7.62	6.24	7.40

\*Includes all municipal bond assets managed by the firm. Figures are in USD.

<sup>+</sup>All periods less than one year are total returns and are not annualized. Returns are final. January 1, 1994

§Net of all fees and expenses. Assumes a 1.30% annual investment management fee. Other fees may apply for additional wealth management services.

## Style: Intermediate High Quality Index: Bloomberg 5-Year Municipal Bond

Portfolio Inception: 1994 Strategy Assets: \$842.9 M\*

### Investment Objective

· Preserve capital and generate a high level of tax-free income consistent with the current market environment

#### **Investment Strategy**

- High quality
- Intermediate duration
- High liquidity
- Commitment to economic and geographic sector diversification Focus on essential services and critical infrastructure

#### Investment Management Team

Name	Industry Start Date
Kimberly C. Friedricks Managing Director of Fixed Inco	1987 me
Michael Montgomery, CFA Fixed Income Trader	2012
<b>Matt Wallis</b> Municipal Analyst/Trader	2018

### **Rating Analysis**

As of March 31, 2025

AAA	13.8%
AA	76.3%
Α	9.6%
NR	0.3%

### **Portfolio Characteristics**

As of March 31, 2025

Average Credit Quality	Aa2/AA		
Average Duration (Effective)	2.9 Years		
Average Coupon	4.9%		
Current Yield	4.8%		
Average Yield to Maturity	4.3%		

#### Maturity

As of March 31, 2025

	Percent (%)
< 1 Year	25.4
1–3 Years	40.7
3–5 Years	20.7
5–7 Years	7.6
7–10 Years	3.9
10-15 Years	0.6
15–20 Years	0.8
20+ Years	0.5
Total	100.0

This material is deemed supplemental and complements the performance and disclosure at the end of this presentation. Returns of the Kayne Anderson Rudnick composite are final. For Returns of the Kayne Anderson Rudnick composite are final. For further details on the composite, please see the disclosure statement in this presentation. Holdings are subject to change. Characteristics and maturity data is based on the average of the accounts in the KAR High Quality Intermediate Municipal Bond Composite. Individual Investors' holdings may differ slightly. Data is obtained from FactSet Research Systems and a major consulting firm and is assumed to be reliable. **Past performance is no guarantee of future results.** Numbers may not always add up due to rounding, Returns could Numbers may not always add up due to rounding. Returns could be reduced, or losses incurred, due to currency fluctuations.

## High Quality Intermediate Duration Municipal Composite

First Quarter 2025

## Disclosure

Year	Composite Gross Return (%)	Composite Net Return (%)	Bloomberg 5-Year Municipal Bond Index Return (%)	Composite 3-Yr Std Dev (%)	Benchmark 3-Yr Std Dev (%)	Number of Accounts	Internal Dispersion (%)	Composite Assets (\$ Millions)	Firm Assets (\$ Millions)
2015	2.98	1.65	2.43	2.43	2.13	40	N/A	150	8,095
2016	0.00	(1.29)	(0.39)	3.01	2.47	43	N/A	166	9,989
2017	3.93	2.59	3.14	3.03	2.63	324	0.77	645	14,609
2018	1.53	0.22	1.69	3.07	2.60	335	0.26	589	17,840
2019	5.85	4.49	5.45	2.01	2.03	366	0.80	643	25,685
2020	4.19	2.85	4.29	2.92	2.93	382	0.93	638	39,582
2021	0.70	(0.60)	0.34	2.87	2.92	367	0.37	589	47,269
2022	(5.37)	(6.60)	(5.26)	4.47	4.59	351	1.51	723	33,531
2023	3.87	2.53	4.31	4.53	5.05	357	0.56	726	41,186
2024	1.94	0.62	1.17	4.52	5.23	374	0.28	777	45,494

The Bloomberg 5 Year Municipal Bond Index is a trademark/service mark of Bloomberg Finance LP. Bloomberg is a trademark of Bloomberg Finance LP.

KAR (as defined below) claims compliance with the Global Investment Performance Standards (GIPS®) and has prepared and presented this report in compliance with the GIPS® standards. KAR has been independently verified for the period from January 1, 1999 through December 31, 2024. The verification reports are available upon request.

A firm that claims compliance with the GIPS standards must establish policies and procedures for complying with all the applicable requirements of the GIPS standards. Verification provides assurance on whether the firm's policies and procedures related to composite, as well as the calculation, presentation, and distribution of performance, have been designed in compliance with the GIPS standards and have been implemented on a firm-wide basis. Verification does not provide assurance on the accuracy of any specific performance report.

 ${\rm GIPS}^{\circledast}$  is a registered trademark of CFA Institute. CFA Institute does not endorse or promote this organization, nor does it warrant the accuracy or quality of the content contained herein.

Kayne Anderson Rudnick Investment Management, LLC ("KAR"), a wholly owned subsidiary of Virtus Investment Partners, Inc., is a registered investment advisor under the Investment Advisers Act of 1940. Registration of an Investment Advisor does not imply any level of skill or training. KAR manages a variety of equity and fixedincome strategies focusing exclusively on securities the firm defines as high quality. The composite includes all non-commissionable, fully discretionary, High Quality Intermediate Municipal Bond Portfolios. High Quality Intermediate Municipal Bond Portfolios are invested in high-quality, intermediate maturity securities that provide investors with current income exempt from applicable state and federal income taxes. For comparison purposes, the composite is measured against the Bloomberg Five-Year Municipal Bond Index, which is a market value weighted index of national municipal bond issues with maturities between four and six years. Benchmark returns are not covered by the report of the independent verifiers.

The minimum account size for this composite is \$450,000. The inception date of the composite is January 1994. The composite was created in January 2017. Policies for valuing investments, calculating performance, and preparing GIPS Reports are available upon request. The firm's list of composite descriptions, list of broad distribution pooled fund and the list of limited distribution pooled funds descriptions are available upon request. For periods prior to January 1, 2017, the composite calculations have been linked to the firm's California Intermediate Municipal Bond actual historical non-wrap fee composite performance.

The model management fee used for the net returns in this table is 1.30% for all periods presented. The maximum Wealth Advisory Services Fee in effect is 1.30% for all assets, which breaks out as follows: 1.00% for the first \$3 million; 0.80% on the next \$2 million; 0.70% on the next \$5 million; 0.60% on the balance; with an additional 0.30% for any assets invested in separately

managed accounts strategies. The standard investment advisory fee schedule currently in effect for clients not engaging in Wealth Advisory Services is 1.00%. Actual management fees charged may vary depending on applicable fee schedules and portfolio size, among other things. Additional information may be found in Part 2A of Form ADV, which is available on request. The performance information is supplied for reference. Past performance is no guarantee of future results. Results will vary among accounts. The U.S. dollar is the currency used to express performance. Returns are presented gross of withholding taxes and net of transaction fees and include the reinvestment of all income. Gross returns will be reduced by investment management fees and other expenses that may be incurred in the management of the account. Model net returns have been calculated by deducting 1/12th of the highest tier of the standard management fee schedule in effect for the respective period from the gross composite returns on a monthly basis.

Internal dispersion is calculated using the assetweighted standard deviation of annual gross returns for accounts in the composite for the entire year. For those years when less than five accounts were included for the full year, no dispersion measure is presented. The threeyear annualized ex-post standard deviation measures the variability of the composite (using gross returns) and the benchmark for the 36-month period.