

## Small Cap Quality Value Portfolio

Third Quarter 2022

### Portfolio Review

The Small Cap Quality Value portfolio underperformed the Russell 2000 Value Index in the third quarter. Poor stock selection and an underweight in health care and poor stock selection in materials detracted from performance. Good stock selection in industrials and financials contributed positively to performance.

The biggest contributors to performance during the quarter were Construction Partners and Stock Yards Bancorp. Construction Partners reported a strong quarter of growth with a significant rebound in profitability, which led management to raise its guidance. In the most recent quarter, Stock Yards Bancorp reported its second-best organic loan growth in company history (last quarter was the best), nominal credit losses, and an increase in net interest margin. These positive operational results led to substantive earnings growth. Other top contributors included RBC Bearings, Watsco, and The Cheesecake Factory.

The biggest detractors from performance were Azenta and Scotts Miracle-Gro. Azenta faced some temporary headwinds in the most recent quarter. The company's results benefited from COVID, which has declined naturally as the pandemic winds down. Results were also negatively impacted by COVID-closures in China reducing demand for the company's Life Sciences Services. Shares of Scotts Miracle-Gro lagged during the quarter following the company's reports of worse-than-expected operating results and further reduction in its outlook due to a lower-than-anticipated level of order replenishment by retailers. At the same time, cannabis oversupply issues continued to weigh on the performance of Hawthorne, the company's hydroponics division. In addition, in late August, the company announced an unexpected departure of the company's Chief Financial Officer and further reduced its free cash flow guidance. Other bottom detractors included National Beverage, EVERTEC, and Latham Group.

### Purchases and Sales

During the quarter, there were no new purchases or complete sales from the portfolio.

### Outlook

We believe the plethora of bad news has led the stock market to a price level that already discounts a shallow-to-mild recession. However, simply put, equities will not bottom out until long-term bond yields (10 to 30 year) stop increasing. It was not a coincidence that stocks rallied from the middle of June until the middle of August when long-term yields were declining. We need a sustained decline in reported inflation statistics for this to happen. This seems likely at some point over the next six-to-twelve months. Monetary policy is working—raw material prices are falling (including oil); housing and autos are being hit hard (including used car prices and even new recently); semiconductors have turned from a shortage to a surplus in many areas; and many companies are starting to shed workers. Supply chain difficulties, which the Fed cannot directly control, are improving as well, but the Russian war and China's zero-COVID policy continue to create some supply difficulties. Given pessimistic investor sentiment, bearish positioning, and many attractively valued companies on a long-term basis, any better-than-feared news on the course of future interest rate increases could cause the markets to substantially improve from here. Historically, periods of stock market pessimism with zero speculation in them have been good long-term entry points for patient investors.

### Portfolio Highlights

**Style:** Small Cap  
**Sub-Style:** Value  
**Index:** Russell 2000® Value  
**Portfolio Inception:** 1998  
**Portfolio Assets:** \$5,037.5 M\*  
**Portfolio Turnover:** 25%–35%

### Investment Management Team

Name	Years of research experience
<b>Douglas S. Foreman, CFA</b> Chief Investment Officer	36
<b>Julie Kutasov</b> Portfolio Manager + Senior Research Analyst	21
<b>Craig Stone</b> Portfolio Manager + Senior Research Analyst	33
<b>Todd Bailey, CFA</b> Senior Research Analyst	23
<b>Julie Biel, CFA</b> Senior Research Analyst	14
<b>Jon Christensen, CFA</b> Senior Research Analyst	27
<b>Chris Wright, CFA</b> Senior Research Analyst	10
<b>Sean Dixon</b> Research Analyst	14
<b>Arthur Su, CFA</b> Research Analyst	7
<b>Adam Xiao, CFA</b> Research Analyst	9

### Top Five Holdings

As of September 30, 2022

Company	Percent of equity (%)
Watsco	5.5
RBC Bearings	5.2
Bank of Hawaii	4.4
Houlihan Lokey	4.3
Stock Yards Bancorp	4.3
<b>Total</b>	<b>23.8</b>

\* Figures in USD

This report is based on the assumptions and analysis made and believed to be reasonable by Advisor. However, no assurance can be given that Advisor's opinions or expectations will be correct. This report is intended for informational purposes only and should be not considered a recommendation or solicitation to purchase securities. Holdings are subject to change. Holdings and weightings are based on a representative portfolio. Individual Investors' holdings may differ slightly. Data is obtained by FactSet Research Systems and is assumed to be reliable. Numbers may not always add up due to rounding. **Past performance is no guarantee of future results.**

## Investment Process: Discovering Quality

<b>Development of KAR High-Quality Universe</b>	<b>Proprietary Fundamental Research</b>	<b>Portfolio Construction</b>	<b>Sell Discipline</b>
<b>Quantitative Screens</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>High return on capital over a full economic cycle</li> <li>Long and resilient earnings history</li> <li>High return on net operating assets</li> <li>Minimal debt</li> </ul> <b>Other Resources</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Research on existing portfolio holdings</li> <li>Meetings with companies</li> <li>Industry reviews</li> <li>Investment conferences</li> <li>Third-party research</li> </ul>	<b>Qualitative Analysis</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Evaluate sustainability of business model and assess management's ability to direct capital where it can create further control of its market</li> </ul> <b>Financial Analysis</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Evaluate basis for profitability, long-term growth potential, and ability to allocate capital appropriately</li> </ul> <b>Valuation Analysis</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Determine the current and potential value of the business</li> </ul>	<b>Position Weights</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Maximum initial position size is 5% (at cost)</li> <li>Maximum position size is 10% (at market)</li> </ul> <b>Sector Tolerances</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Seek broad diversification, but no sector constraints</li> </ul> <b>Non-U.S. Holdings</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Up to 20%</li> </ul> <b>Holding Period</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Typically 3-to-5 years, but is often longer</li> <li>Portfolio turnover is typically 25% to 35%</li> </ul> <b>Cash Levels</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Typically will not exceed 10% once a portfolio is fully invested; review by CIO triggered if over 10%</li> </ul>	<b>Extended Valuation</b> <b>Portfolio Upgrade</b> <b>Acquisition Activity</b> <b>Negative Company or Industry Changes</b>


Higher Quality

Stronger, More Consistent Growth

Better Value

## Sector Diversification

As of September 30, 2022



## Portfolio Characteristics

As of September 30, 2022

	KAR Small Cap Quality Value	Russell 2000 Value Index
<b>Quality</b>		
Return on Equity—Past 5 Years	22.4%	8.9%
Total Debt/EBITDA*	1.7 x	137.6 x
Earnings Variability—Past 10 Years	38.4%	76.3%
<b>Growth</b>		
Earnings Per Share Growth—Past 5 Years	11.7%	12.7%
Earnings Per Share Growth—Past 10 Years	7.7%	7.4%
Dividend Per Share Growth—Past 5 Years	9.9%	3.8%
Dividend Per Share Growth—Past 10 Years	10.1%	5.5%
Capital Generation—{ROE x (1-Payout)}	13.6%	6.5%
<b>Value</b>		
P/E Ratio—Trailing 12 Months	20.3 x	17.5 x
Dividend Yield	1.4%	2.3%
Free Cash Flow Yield†	1.8%	-1.0%
<b>Market Characteristics</b>		
\$ Weighted Average Market Cap—3-Year Avg.	\$4.8 B	\$2.5 B
Largest Market Cap—3-Year Avg.	\$12.8 B	\$13.2 B
Annualized Standard Deviation—Since Inception‡	16.9%	19.4%

\*There was a material difference in the Total Debt/EBITDA quality indicator for the Russell 2000™ Value Index as of September 30, 2022 over the prior quarter end of June 30, 2022. This is primarily due to a single issuer in the index reporting income at essentially zero, causing the ratio to increase significantly.

†Free cash flow data is as of June 30, 2022. Prices are as of September 30, 2022. Excludes financials.  
 ‡June 1, 1998. Standard deviation for the KAR strategy is based on net of fee returns.  
 This material is deemed supplemental and complements the performance and disclosure at the end of this presentation. Data is obtained from FactSet Research Systems and BNY Mellon and is assumed to be reliable. Other principal consultant firms may use different algorithms to calculate selected statistics. Estimates are based on certain assumptions and historical information. **Past performance is no guarantee of future results.** Returns could be reduced, or losses incurred, due to currency fluctuations.

Holdings are subject to change. Holdings and weightings are based on a representative portfolio. Individual Investors' holdings may differ slightly. The sector information represented above is based on GICS sector classifications. Data is obtained by FactSet Research Systems and is assumed to be reliable.

# Small Cap Quality Value Portfolio

Third Quarter 2022

## Risk-Return Analysis

Inception\* to September 30, 2022



## Historical Returns

	KAR Small Cap Quality Value (gross)	KAR Small Cap Quality Value (net) <sup>†</sup>	Russell 2000 <sup>®</sup> Value Index
<b>Annualized Returns (%)<sup>†</sup></b>			
As of September 30, 2022			
3 <sup>rd</sup> Quarter	(5.13)	(5.35)	(4.61)
Year to Date	(28.33)	(28.83)	(21.12)
One Year	(23.03)	(23.74)	(17.69)
Three Years	5.99	5.04	4.72
Five Years	4.78	3.84	2.87
Seven Years	9.98	9.00	7.42
Ten Years	10.77	9.79	7.94
Inception*	10.64	9.66	7.35
<b>Annual Returns (%)</b>			
2021	20.68	19.62	28.27
2020	29.85	28.71	4.63
2019	25.79	24.68	22.39
2018	(14.80)	(15.57)	(12.86)
2017	20.48	19.41	7.84
2016	26.74	25.62	31.74
2015	(0.16)	(1.05)	(7.47)
2014	3.05	2.13	4.22
2013	41.06	39.84	34.52
2012	9.97	8.99	18.05
2011	6.57	5.61	(5.50)
2010	25.10	24.00	24.50
2009	26.97	25.85	20.58
2008	(28.51)	(29.17)	(28.92)
2007	2.19	1.27	(9.78)
2006	24.45	23.36	23.48
2005	8.88	7.91	4.71
2004	28.10	26.98	22.25
2003	21.88	20.80	46.03
2002	1.11	0.21	(11.43)
2001	19.42	18.36	14.02
2000	24.92	23.82	22.83
1999	(7.69)	(8.52)	(1.49)
1998 <sup>  </sup>	9.61	9.04	(10.93)


\*June 1, 1998

<sup>†</sup>All periods less than one year are total returns and are not annualized. Returns are preliminary.

<sup>‡</sup>Net of all fees and expenses. Assumes a 1% annual fee. Fees presented on the Disclosure page could vary from the assumed fee in the net-of-fee calculation, as actual fees paid by a particular client account differ depending on a variety of factors including, but not limited to, business unit and size of mandate. The fee used on the Disclosure page utilizes an assumed maximum fee across the firm's business units, which is further detailed on that page.

## Peer Comparison Chart

Inception\* to September 30, 2022



The eVestment Small Cap Value Universe includes 69 managers categorized in the small cap value asset class by eVestment. KAR does not pay any fees to be included in the eVestment Small Cap Value Universe or for the ranking itself. KAR does pay fees for the use of certain products and services provided by eVestment. eVestment rankings are based on gross of fee returns. Gross of fee returns will be reduced by investment management fees and other expenses that may be incurred in the management of the account. Management fees are described in KAR's Form ADV Part 2A, which is available upon request and can also be found at <https://kayne.com/wp-content/uploads/ADV-Part-2A.pdf>. Returns could be reduced or losses incurred due to currency fluctuations. **Past performance is no guarantee of future results.**

## Performance Statistics

Inception\* to September 30, 2022

	KAR Small Cap Quality Value (gross)	KAR Small Cap Quality Value (net)	Russell 2000 <sup>®</sup> Value Index
Annualized Standard Deviation	16.92	16.92	19.38
Alpha	4.33	3.40	0.00
Beta	0.77	0.77	1.00
Sharpe Ratio	0.53	0.47	0.29
R-Squared	77.56	77.56	100.00

<sup>||</sup>Performance calculations are for the seven months ended December 31, 1998.

This material is deemed supplemental and complements the performance and disclosure at the end of this presentation. Returns of the Kayne Anderson Rudnick composite are preliminary. For further details on the composite, please see the disclosure statement in this presentation. Data is obtained from FactSet Research Systems and is assumed to be reliable. **Past performance is no guarantee of future results.** Returns could be reduced, or losses incurred, due to currency fluctuations.

## Small Cap Quality Value Portfolio

Third Quarter 2022

### Disclosure

Year	Composite Gross Return (%)	Composite Net Return (%)	Russell 2000® Value Index Return (%)	Composite 3-Yr Std Dev (%)	Benchmark 3-Yr Std Dev (%)	Number of Accounts	Internal Dispersion (%)	Composite Assets (\$ Millions)	Firm Assets (\$ Millions)
2011	6.57	5.51	(5.50)	21.64	26.42	106	0.48	521	5,232
2012	9.97	8.88	18.05	16.24	20.17	120	0.35	474	6,545
2013	41.06	39.70	34.52	14.50	16.05	142	1.05	646	7,841
2014	3.05	2.02	4.22	13.06	12.98	149	0.52	581	7,989
2015	(0.16)	(1.15)	(7.47)	13.94	13.65	151	0.20	535	8,095
2016	26.74	25.50	31.74	14.30	15.72	141	1.13	711	9,989
2017	20.48	19.30	7.84	12.32	14.17	191	0.56	996	14,609
2018	(14.80)	(15.66)	(12.86)	14.42	15.98	152	0.35	895	17,840
2019	25.79	24.56	22.39	14.59	15.90	126	0.65	1,107	25,685
2020	29.87	28.60	4.63	22.11	26.49	121	1.00	1,835	39,582

The Russell 2000® Value Index is a trademark/service mark of Frank Russell Company. Russell® is a trademark of Frank Russell Company.

KAR (as defined below) claims compliance with the Global Investment Performance Standards (GIPS®) and has prepared and presented this report in compliance with the GIPS® standards. KAR has been independently verified for the period from January 1, 1999 through December 31, 2020.

A firm that claims compliance with the GIPS standards must establish policies and procedures for complying with all the applicable requirements of the GIPS standards. Verification provides assurance on whether the firm's policies and procedures related to composite, as well as the calculation, presentation, and distribution of performance, have been designed in compliance with the GIPS standards and have been implemented on a firm-wide basis.

The Small Cap Quality Value Composite has had a performance examination for the period from January 1, 1999 through December 31, 2020. The verification and performance examination reports are available upon request.

GIPS® is a registered trademark of CFA Institute. CFA Institute does not endorse or promote this organization, nor does it warrant the accuracy or quality of the content contained herein.

Kayne Anderson Rudnick Investment Management, LLC ("KAR"), a wholly owned subsidiary of Virtus Investment Partners, Inc., is a registered investment advisor under the Investment Advisers Act of 1940. Registration of an Investment Advisor does not imply any level of skill or training. KAR manages a variety of equity and fixed-income strategies focusing exclusively on securities the firm defines as high quality.

The composite includes all fully discretionary institutional and pooled Small Cap Quality Value Portfolios. Small Cap Quality Value Portfolios are invested in equity securities with capitalizations consistent with the Russell 2000® Value Index, that have market control, rising free cash flow, shareholder-oriented management, strong consistent profit growth and low-debt balance sheets. For comparison purposes, the composite is measured against the Russell 2000® Value Index. The Russell 2000® Value Index is a market capitalization-weighted index of value-oriented stocks of the 2,000 smallest companies in the Russell Universe, which comprises the 3,000 largest U.S. companies. The index is calculated on a total-return basis with dividends reinvested. Benchmark returns are not covered by the report of independent verifiers. The inception date of the composite is June 1998. The composite was created in June 1998. Policies for valuing investments, calculating performance, and preparing GIPS Reports are available upon request. The firm's list of composite descriptions, list of broad distribution pooled fund and the list of limited distribution pooled funds descriptions are available upon request.

As of January 1, 2011, the composite was redefined to include both institutional and mutual fund [or pooled] accounts.

The model management fee used for the net returns in this table is 1.00% for all periods presented. The standard Institutional management fee schedule currently in effect is as follows: 1.00% for the first \$25 million; 0.80% on the next \$25 million; 0.70% on the balance. The maximum Wealth Advisory Services Fee

in effect is 1.30% for all assets, which breaks out as follows: 1.00% for the first \$3 million; 0.80% on the next \$2 million; 0.70% on the next \$5 million; 0.60% on the balance; with an additional 0.30% for any assets invested in separately managed accounts strategies. The standard investment advisory fee schedule currently in effect for clients not engaging in Wealth Advisory Services is 1.00%. Actual management fees charged may vary depending on applicable fee schedules and portfolio size, among other things. Additional information may be found in Part 2A of Form ADV, which is available on request. The performance information is supplied for reference. Past performance is no guarantee of future results. Results will vary among accounts. The U.S. dollar is the currency used to express performance. Returns are presented net of transaction fees and include the reinvestment of all income. Gross returns will be reduced by investment management fees and other expenses that may be incurred in the management of the account. Model net returns have been calculated by deducting 1/12th of the highest tier of the standard management fee schedule in effect for the respective period from the gross composite returns on a monthly basis.

Internal dispersion is calculated using the asset-weighted standard deviation of annual gross returns for accounts in the composite for the entire year. For those years when less than five accounts were included for the full year, no dispersion measure is presented. The three-year annualized ex-post standard deviation measures the variability of the composite (using gross returns) and the benchmark for the 36-month period.

#### GLOSSARY

Alpha: A risk-adjusted measure of an investment's excess return relative to a benchmark. Beta: A quantitative measure of the volatility, or systematic risk, of a security or a portfolio in comparison to the market as a whole. R2: A measure that represents the percentage of a fund or security's movements that can be explained by movements in a benchmark index. Sharpe Ratio: A risk-adjusted measure calculated using standard deviation and excess return to determine reward per unit of risk. Standard Deviation: Measures variability of returns around the average return for an investment portfolio. Higher standard deviation suggests greater risk.

#### INDEX DEFINITION

The Russell 2000® Value Index is a market capitalization-weighted index of value-oriented stocks of the smallest 2,000 companies in the Russell Universe, which comprises the 3,000 largest U.S. companies. The index is calculated on a total return basis with dividends reinvested. The index is unmanaged, its returns do not reflect any fees, expenses, or sales charges, and is not available for direct investment.